1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO STAY CASE FOR 60 DAYS

Friedman Rubin v USA, et al., 2:23-cv-01499-JHC – Page 1

District Judge John H. Chun

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

MICHAEL N. WHITE, on behalf of FRIEDMAN RUBIN PLLP,

Plaintiff,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-01499-JHC

JOINT STIPULATED MOTION TO STAY AND STATUS REPORT

Noted for Consideration: January 8, 2024

Stipulated Motion to Stay Litigation for 60 Days

Plaintiff filed the above-captioned lawsuit under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 USC § 552, in connection with a FOIA request sent to the United States Department of the Navy ("Navy"). Counsel for the parties have conferred and are continuing to confer in good faith in an effort to discuss potential resolution of this action without motion practice or further litigation. In order to provide the parties with additional time to continue these efforts and conserve Court resources, the parties agree and stipulate that there is good cause for a brief stay of this litigation for 60 days.

The parties therefore jointly propose to stay this litigation for 60 days, following which the parties propose to provide a status report to this Court apprising the Court if this matter has resolved, or potentially proposing a briefing schedule for resolution of this FOIA case.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Joint Status Report

In addition, following this Court's scheduling order, Dkt. 6, the parties have met and conferred and previously emailed the Courtroom Deputy to advise that the parties agree that the above-captioned case is a FOIA action brought under 5 USC § 552 and is exempt from the initial disclosure requirements of Rule 26(a) and Rule 26(f) requiring the parties to prepare a discovery plan.

Should this matter not resolve by settlement, the parties anticipate that it would be resolved by dispositive motions practice, consistent with typical FOIA litigation. Consistent with this Court's direction, the parties propose the following deadlines, in the event that this Court does not stay the litigation:

- 1. <u>NATURE OF CASE</u>:
 - This is a FOIA case concerning a FOIA request sent to the Navy.
- PROPOSED DEADLINE FOR JOINING ADDITIONAL PARTIES: April 1, 2024.
- 3. <u>CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u>: No.
- 4. PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN: The parties agree that no proposed discovery plan is needed in this FOIA case. Navy notes that "[i]n FOIA cases, the general rule is that discovery is unavailable." *Withey v. FBI*, No. C18-1635-JCC, 2020 WL 885974, at *1 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 24, 2020). At this time, however, Plaintiff does not agree that this case may not warrant an exception in the future, should this case not settle. But both parties agree that there is no need for Court resolution of this question at this time.
- 5. <u>PARTIES VIEWS, PROPOSALS AND AGREEMENTS</u>: As set forth above, the

1		parties jointly propose a short stay to try and resolve this litigation while conserving
2		Court and party resources. In the event that this matter does not settle, the parties
3		anticipate that dispositive motions practice, rather than trial, would resolve this
4		litigation. Therefore, the parties propose to provide a status update at the end of the
5		proposed stay and potentially propose a briefing schedule, should this matter not
6		resolve.
7	6.	<u>DISCOVERY DEADLINE</u> : Not applicable at this time.
8	7.	BIFURCATION: Not applicable at this time.
9	8.	PRETRIAL STATEMENTS AND ORDER: Not applicable at this time.
10	9.	<u>INDIVIDUALIZED TRIAL PROGRAM / ADR</u> : Not applicable at this time.
11	10.	ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS: The parties jointly propose a brief stay, as
12		indicated above.
13	11.	<u>DATE CASE READY FOR TRIAL</u> : Not applicable at this time.
14	12.	JURY TRIAL: Not applicable at this time.
15	13.	<u>LENGTH OF TRIAL</u> : Not applicable at this time.
16	14.	COUNSEL CONTACT INFORMATION:
17		Michael N. White, WSBA #28284 FRIEDMAN RUBIN PLLP
18		1109 – 1 st Avenue, Suite 501 Seattle, WA 98101
19		Phone: (206) 501-4446
20		Fax: (206) 623-0794 mwhite@friedmanrubin.com
21		Attorney for Plaintiff Vatio D. Foirehild, WSDA #47712
22		Katie D. Fairchild, WSBA #47712 Assistant United States Attorney UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
23		700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
24		Seattle, WA 98101-1271 Phone: (206) 553-7970 Fax: (206) 553-4067
		1'ax. (200) 333-4007

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO STAY CASE FOR 60 DAYS Friedman Rubin v USA, et al., 2:23-cv-01499-JHC – Page 3

1 2		katie.fairchild@usdoj.com Attorney for Defendants	
	15.	AVAILABILITY OF COUNSEL FOR TRIAL: Plaintiff counsel is unavailable	
3		from September 5, 2023 to October 1, 2024.	
4	16.	STATEMENT REGARDING SERVICE: Plaintiff has properly served Defendants	
5	in this matter.		
6	17.	<u>SCHEDULING CONFERENCE</u> : The parties do not request a scheduling	
7	conference at this time.		
8	18.	FILING OF DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS:	
9	DATE	D this 8 th day of January, 2024.	
10			
11		/s Michael N. White Michael N. White, WSBA #28284	
12		FRIEDMAN RUBIN PLLP	
13		1109 – 1 st Avenue, Suite 501 Seattle, WA 98101	
		Phone: (206) 501-4446	
14		Fax: (206) 623-0794 mwhite@friedmanrubin.com	
15		Attorney for Plaintiff	
16			
17		TESSA M. GORMAN Acting United States Attorney	
18		·	
19		<u>/s Katie D. Fairchild</u> Katie D. Fairchild, WSBA #47712	
		Assistant United States Attorney UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
20		700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220	
21		Seattle, WA 98101-1271 Phone: (206) 553-7970	
22		Fax: (206) 553-4067 Katie.fairchild@usdoj.com	
23		Attorneys for Defendants	
24		I certify that this memorandum contains 651 words, in compliance with the Local Civil Rules.	
	ODDED CDA	NTING STIDLILATED MOTION TO STAY	

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO STAY CASE FOR 60 DAYS
Friedman Rubin v USA, et al., 2:23-cv-01499-JHC – Page 4

ORDER The parties having stipulated and agreed, it is hereby so ORDERED. DATED this 9th day of January, 2024. John H. Chun JOHN H. CHUN United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION TO STAY CASE FOR 60 DAYS Friedman Rubin v USA, et al., 2:23-cv-01499-JHC – Page 5